## **GRADING POLICY, UNDERGRADUATE**

- 1. Overall final grades for courses are assigned by instructors at the end of every semester or summer session. All grades being are subject to confirmation at the Formal School Exam Boards and University Exam Board.
- 2. Each letter grade is assigned a numerical equivalent on the following scale for **undergraduate** study:

UNDERGRADUATE GRADING SCALE							
Grade	Grade Point	Brief Descriptor					
А	4.000	Excellent					
A-	3.700	Excellent					
B+	3.300	Good					
В	3.000	Good					
В-	2.700	Good					
C+	2.300	Satisfactory					
С	2.000	Satisfactory					
C-	1.700	Below Average					
D+	1.300	Minimal Achievement					
D	1.000	Minimal Achievement					
D-	0.700	Minimal Achievement					
F	0.000	Fail					
FA	0.000	Fail (Attendance)					
FS	0.000	Fail (Non-Submission)					
FX	0.000	Fail (Academic Misconduct)					

Figure 1: Undergraduate Grading Scale

3. Additional grades that do not have a numerical equivalent and are not used in GPA calculations are:

Grade	Brief Descriptor	
AU	Audit	
1	Incomplete	
Р	Pass (non-credit only)	
TR	Transfer Credit	
TRP	Transfer Credit (provisional)	
W	Withdrawn	

Figure 2: Undergraduate Grading Scale

4. Detailed grade descriptors for undergraduate study are as follows and are also reproduced in the degree programme specifications and on course syllabi.

Descriptor	Grade	GPA	Detailed Descriptor		
			Grade A applies only to work which:		
		4 000	<ul> <li>is of excellent to exceptional standard</li> </ul>		
	А	4.000	<ul> <li>demonstrates in-depth knowledge and understanding</li> </ul>		
Excellent			<ul> <li>demonstrates substantial work and original thought has been</li> </ul>		
Excellent			involved		
	A-	3.700	<ul> <li>makes use of very high quality analysis, synthesis, evaluation</li> </ul>		
	~		and critical appraisal		
			<ul> <li>is organised and structured to a high standard</li> </ul>		
	B+	3.300	<b>Grade B applies to work which:</b>		
			<ul> <li>is of good to very good standard</li> </ul>		
		2 0 0 0	<ul> <li>demonstrates sound and good quality of knowledge and</li> </ul>		
	В	3.000	understanding		
Good			<ul> <li>demonstrates good quality analysis, synthesis, evaluation and</li> </ul>		
	B-	2.700	critical appraisal		
	b	2.700	<ul> <li>indicates an increasing ability to incorporate meaning into the work and understand key theories, debates and criticisms</li> </ul>		
			<ul> <li>work and understand key theories, debates and criticisms</li> <li>is well organised and structured</li> </ul>		
	C+	2.300			
	0.	2.500	Grade C applies to work which:		
			• is adequate although undeveloped		
	С	2.000	<ul> <li>fulfils the requirements of the project at a foundation level in terms of its prolime and comparison</li> </ul>		
Satisfactory			terms of its quality, analysis and expression		
			<ul> <li>limited level of research and understanding of key theories and debates</li> </ul>		
			<ul> <li>is organised and presented in a satisfactory form</li> </ul>		
		4 700	· · ·		
	C-	1.700	<ul> <li>falls below the threshold criteria</li> <li>demonstrates limited knowledge and understanding</li> </ul>		
Below			<ul> <li>demonstrates minimal attention to quality, range, and appropriateness of</li> </ul>		
Average			research		
	D.	4 200	normally passing grade at course level		
	D+	1.300	Grade D applies to work which:		
			<ul> <li>is of a poor standard</li> <li>bas been produced without a proper understanding of the brief</li> </ul>		
	D	1.000	<ul> <li>has been produced without a proper understanding of the brief demonstrating confusion</li> </ul>		
Minimal		1.000	<ul> <li>is weak in content and shows little evidence of thought or</li> </ul>		
Achievement			application		
	D-	0.700	<ul> <li>relies on weak or superficial technique</li> </ul>		
			<ul> <li>incorporates insufficient research and/or inappropriate sources</li> </ul>		
			<ul> <li>is organised and presented poorly</li> </ul>		
			<ul> <li>normally passing grade at course level</li> </ul>		
	F	0	Grade F applies to work which:		
Fail			<ul> <li>is of very poor standard</li> </ul>		
			<ul> <li>has not been submitted or has been submitted beyond the</li> </ul>		
			project deadline		
			<ul> <li>shows a complete lack of content, thought or application</li> </ul>		

	<ul> <li>makes no or insufficient use of analysis and relevant skills</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>is the product of academic misconduct</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>does not fulfil the brief</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>failing grade at all levels</li> </ul>

Figure 3: Undergraduate Detailed Grade Descriptors

## **VERSION MANAGEMENT**

Responsible Department: Registry Services								
Approving body: Academic Board								
Version no.	Key Changes	Date of approval	Date of effect					
001		24 July 2018	28 August 2018					
002	Replaced grade description with new chart approved at AB		28 August 2019					
003	inclusion of two new grades.							
		Restricted access?Tick as appropriateTick as appropriateTick as appropriate						