Grading Policy: Undergraduate July 2021 Version 3

GRADING POLICY, UNDERGRADUATE

- 1. Overall final grades for courses are assigned by instructors at the end of every semester or summer session. All grades being are subject to confirmation at the Formal School Exam Boards and University Exam Board.
- 2. Each letter grade is assigned a numerical equivalent on the following scale for **undergraduate** study:

UNDERGRADUATE GRADING SCALE						
Grade	Grade Point	Brief Descriptor				
А	4.000	Excellent				
A-	3.666	Excellent				
B+	3.333	Good				
В	3.000	Good				
В-	2.666	Good				
C+	2.333	Satisfactory				
С	2.000	Satisfactory				
C-	1.666	Below Average				
D+	1.333	Minimal Achievement				
D	1.000	Minimal Achievement				
D-	0.666	Minimal Achievement				
F	0.000	Fail				
FA	0.000	Fail (Attendance)				
FS	0.000	Fail (Non-Submission)				
FX	0.000	Fail (Academic Misconduct)				

Figure 1: Undergraduate Grading Scale

3. Additional grades that do not have a numerical equivalent and are not used in GPA calculations are:

Grade	Brief Descriptor		
AU	Audit		
Ι	Incomplete		
Р	Pass (non-credit only)		
TR	Transfer Credit		
TRP	Transfer Credit (provisional)		
W	Withdrawn		

Figure 2: Undergraduate Grading Scale

4. Detailed grade descriptors for undergraduate study are as follows and are also reproduced in the degree programme specifications and on course syllabi.

Grading Policy: Undergraduate July 2021 Version 3

Descriptor	Grade	GPA	Detailed Descriptor		Formatted Table
			Grade A applies only to work which:		
		4 000	 is of excellent to exceptional standard 		
	A	4.000	 demonstrates in-depth knowledge and understanding 		
Fuellant			 demonstrates substantial work and original thought has been substantial work and original thought has been	been	
Excellent			involved		
	A-	3.666	 makes use of very high quality analysis, synthesis, evaluate 	tion	
	^	5.000	and critical appraisal		
			 is organised and structured to a high standard 		
	B+	3.333	Grade B applies to work which:		
			 is of good to very good standard 		
			 demonstrates sound and good quality of knowledge and 		
	В	3.000	understanding		
Good			 demonstrates good quality analysis, synthesis, evaluation 	and	
			critical appraisal		
	В-	2.666	 indicates an increasing ability to incorporate meaning interest 		
			work and understand key theories, debates and criticisms	5	
	_		 is well organised and structured 		
	C+	2.333	Grade C applies to work which:		
			 is adequate although undeveloped 		
	c	2 000	• fulfils the requirements of the project at a foundation lev	el in	
Satisfactory	С	2.000	terms of its quality, analysis and expression		
			 limited level of research and understanding of key theorie 	es	
			and debates		
			 is organised and presented in a satisfactory form 		
	C-	1.666	falls below the threshold criteria		
Below	-		 demonstrates limited knowledge and understanding 		
Average			 demonstrates minimal attention to quality, range, and appropriatened 	ess of	
, weruge			research		
	D+	1.333	normally passing grade at course level Grade D applies to work which:		
	0.	1.555	 is of a poor standard 		
			 has been produced without a proper understanding of th 	<u> </u>	
	D	1.000	brief demonstrating confusion	C	
		1.000	 is weak in content and shows little evidence of thought o 	r	
Minimal			application		
Achievement	D-	0.666	 relies on weak or superficial technique 		
			 incorporates insufficient research and/or inappropriate 		
			sources		
			 is organised and presented poorly 		
			 normally passing grade at course level 		
	F	0	Grade F applies to work which:		
			 is of very poor standard 		
Fail			 has not been submitted or has been submitted beyond the 	ne	
			project deadline		
	1	1	p. sjest wewenne		

Grading Policy: Undergraduate	
July 2021	
Version 3	
 shows a complete lack of content, thought or application 	
 makes no or insufficient use of analysis and relevant skills 	
 is the product of academic misconduct 	
 does not fulfil the brief 	
 failing grade at all levels 	

Figure 3: Undergraduate Detailed Grade Descriptors

Grading Policy: Undergraduate July 2021 Version 3

VERSION MANAGEMENT

Responsible Department: Registry Services Approving body: Academic Board					
001		24 July 2018	28 August 2018		
002	Replaced grade description with new chart approved at AB		28 August 2019		
003	inclusion of two new grades.				
004	Updated grade boundaries	May 2022			
	1	Restricted access? Tick as appropriate Yes			